

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,189 08/21/2003		08/21/2003	John D. McNicol	801,461-009	9078
34263	7590	01/05/2006		EXAMINER	
O'MELVE		YERS LLP TER DRIVE	LASTRA, DANIEL		
17TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660				3622	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,189	MCNICOL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL LASTRA	3622
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Oct 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 18 has been examined. Application 10/646,189 has a filing date 08/21/2003 is a continuation of 09/481,778 (Pat 6,615,179; 01/11/00) which is a continuation in part of 09/241,937 (Pat 6,347,301; 02/02/99).

Response to Restriction Requirement

2. In response to Restriction requirement filed 05/31/2005, the Applicant filed a Response to Election / Restriction on 10/31/2005, which elected group III (claim 18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kolls (US 2002/0077889).

As per claim 18, Kolls teaches:

A method for providing an electronic concierge service to a hotel guest comprising:

providing a central computer comprising a central microprocessor operably coupled to a central data storage unit (see figure 4);

programming into the central data storage unit an entertainment database (see paragraphs 48-49);

providing the hotel guest with a hand-held computer comprising a display screen, a keypad, a data storage unit, a data transfer mechanism, and a microprocessor coupled to the display screen, the keypad, the data storage unit, and the data transfer mechanism (see figure 4, paragraphs 15 and 82);

providing a plurality of data distribution nodes comprising a data transfer unit configured to download data from the central data storage unit to the hand-held computer in response to the data transfer mechanism of the hand-held computer (see paragraph 115, figure 6b);

installing the data distribution nodes in publicly accessible locations around the hotel (see figure 6b, paragraph 115);

operably coupling the data distribution nodes to the central computer (see figure 4b);

inputting into the entertainment database entertainment information of interest to the hotel guest (see paragraph 115);

operatively coupling the hand-held computer with the closest of the plurality of data distribution nodes and transmitting information from the entertainment database from the central computer to the hand-held computer (see paragraphs 115, 194-199.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/31/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the election is done with traverse because in the

Application/Control Number: 10/646,189

Art Unit: 3622

Office action mailed November 29, 2004, the Examiner indicated that "claims 1-20 have

been examined", yet did not issue a restriction requirement. The Examiner answers that

the Examiner did not make a restriction requirement because in said Office Action, the

Examiner did a Statutory Double Patenting rejection. However, because said Double

Patenting Rejection was withdrawn, the Examiner had to file a Restriction requirement,

as the Applicant's claims are not all in the same art.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 571-272-

6720 and fax 571-273-6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ERIC W. STAMBER can be reached on 571-272-6724. The official Fax

number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Lastra

BO

December 15, 2005

Page 4